

The effectiveness of decision making and thresholds within Child Protection Conferences

7. Recommendations

- Increase professional network's confidence to challenge ineffective CP processes
- SMART, multi-agency, CP Plans that captures change and objectives achieved when reviewed
- Robust evidence of sustained parental change before stepping down to CIN
- Recording processes and standards to improve so a child's story is clear across agency involvement
- Professional dissent at conferences must be recorded and acted upon appropriately

1. Background

In December 2019, the Learning From Practice sub-group commissioned a multi-agency audit to enquire into the effectiveness of decision making and thresholds for intervention within child protection conferences.

2. Methodology

The agreed methodology was to review up to 100 cases, which would represent 10 per cent of children on child protection plans within the terms of reference criteria.

3 Key Lines of Enquiry

- Early Help Assessments
- · Clarity re: the reason for the referral
- Child and Young Person Participation
- The effectiveness of planning and monitoring
- Impact and improved outcomes for the child
- Professional agreement and decision-making
- Partnership working post conference.

7 2 3 4 5

6. Recommendations

- Sharing of <u>all professional's reports with</u> parents prior to conference
- Engagement with Fathers seen as a multiagency priority
- A standardised approach to how child/ren are listened to and involved as part of the conference
- Understanding the reasons why we proceed if not quorate
- Conference and core group processes must have the key professionals.

5. Secondary Findings

- The quality recording impacts our ability to fully evaluate the service a child/ren receive
- The presence of Fathers is often absent
- The effectiveness of Core Group Meetings
- Professional escalations to improve around drift and delay
- Quoracy

4. Findings

- Most cases did not have a completed Early Help Assessment
- The Reason for Referral was clear in the majority of cases
- There was evidence of historical involvement in the majority of cases
- CP Conference Reports were shared in a timely way in two thirds of cases
- Planning was linked to presenting needs in 90% of the cases reviewed
- The progress of the plan was monitored in 55% of cases
- There was professional agreement in 60% of cases
 - There was evidence of effective partnership working in 78% of the cases